

REMARKS

In this Response, Applicant has not amended, canceled or added any claims. Claims 4-7 and 12-18 remain pending.

In the Office Action mailed January 30, 2006, the Examiner examined claims 1-3, 8-11 and 19. However, in the Response to Restriction Requirement mailed October 3, 2005, Applicants elected Group II, claims 4-7 and 12-18. Accordingly, the wrong claims were examined.

In a telephone conversation with Examiner Donnelly, the undersigned was informed that upon submission of this response, Examiner Donnelly would examine claims 4-7 and 12-18. Accordingly, Applicants await a communication from the Patent Office.

Amendment and Response to January  
30, 2006 Office Action

-8-

Appl. No. 10/730,313  
Atty. Docket No. 64766-5003  
Customer No. 24574

## CONCLUSION

It is believed that all claims now pending patentably define the subject invention over the prior art of record and are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited at the earliest possible date.

Respectfully submitted,

JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP

Dated: April 27, 2006

By: 

Brennan C. Swain, Esq.  
Reg. NO. 43,175  
1900 Avenue of the Stars  
Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-4308  
(310) 203-8080